
Universities as boundary-maintaining organisations

Jeroen Huisman

EU-SPRI ECC Conference Crossing boundaries in scientific knowledge creation and transmission: trends, challenges and policy implications

Rome, 15 October 2015

Overview

- ❑ Intro: what is the issue: autonomy, actorhood, boundaries
- ❑ Two perspectives to look at organisational boundaries
- ❑ Questions for research

Background

- ❑ Increasing institutional autonomy
- ❑ ... as the way in which HEIs are allowed to “organise themselves”
- ❑ ... despite considerable variety across Europe (see Estermann et al., 2011)
- ❑ ... also more strategic leeway to change, profile, etc.

But ...

- Because of governmental (indirect) interferences, the actual scope for self-organisation may be limited
 - accountability – administrative apparatus
 - implicit expectation to fulfill a broad portfolio of activities: teaching AND research AND service to society (mission overload)
 - rankings imperatives: big, comprehensive

Other impediments on organisations

Entry requirements for new players:

- ❑ Quality requirements, but also ...
- ❑ Track record and size

Self-imposed? Iron cage?

- ❑ HEIs choose their structures from a limited set of options (legitimation!)
- ❑ ... even in light of disruptive technologies

Enter actorhood

- Actorhood, “complete” organisations => soon(ish) HEIs will take the reins
- ... but poor understanding of causal relationships in knowledge production, dissemination and transfer ... including lack of insight on how to judge performances and outcomes (Whitley, 2008) => hollow organisations with limited discretion, portfolio managers

Actorhood implies ...

- ❑ Collective agency
- ❑ Strategic leeway
- ❑ Complete organisations (“having all elements on board to survive”)

- ❑ But what does this mean for boundaries, if organisations are “goal-directed, boundary-maintaining socially-constructed systems of human agency”? (def. Aldrich)

Boundaries

- ❑ Demarcations between the organization and its environment (Santos and Eisenhardt 2005)
- ❑ Interesting? ... Yes, it leads to a deeper understanding of the dynamics between internal and external world and arguably to insights in internal dynamics



Novel?

In higher education studies, it goes back to e.g. Parsons and Platt (1973)

In science studies: Gieryn (1983) on boundary work between science and non-science

Organisation theory: Santos and Eisenhardt (2005) => used as guidance to explore contracting and expanding boundaries of HEIs

Boundaries I: efficiency

- Revolves around authority and ownership, markets vs. organisations, transaction costs

- Suggests (in context of HE):
 - + institutional autonomy
 - + strong(er) leadership
 - + actorhood (Krücken & Meier, 2006)

- portfolio management (Whitley, 2008)
- former outsiders now in governance structures



Boundaries II: external relations and resources

- Revolves around handling uncertainty, power and control
- Suggests (in context of HE):
 - + resource diversification
 - multiple dependencies => networks
 - dependencies at shop-floor level: small fiefdoms within the larger kingdom



Boundaries III: core and boundary-spanning activities

- Revolves around protecting core activities and competencies
- Suggests (in context of HE):
 - + emergence of boundary-spanning roles (alumni relations, technology transfer offices, etc.)
- Paradoxically: these new roles make boundaries porous (Hughes & Kitson, 2012)



Boundaries IV: membership and identity

- Revolves around building coherence
- Suggests (in context of HE):
 - + autonomy, positioning, identity
 - membership diversity, hybrid professions
 - contracts
 - perimeter roles: in or out?



Another perspective: university models

- Republic of scholars
 - Professionals determine the boundaries of science
 - Also determine who gets access (PhD as entry requirement)
 - Socialisation (identity) through training



Another perspective: university models (Olsen, 2007)

- Universities as instruments of (national) governments
 - Political agendas determine boundaries by focus on solving national economic problems
 - Steering on input, regulation of supply
 - National employment conditions, employment by state



Another perspective: university models (Olsen, 2007)

- University as service enterprise in the market
 - University as internal representative system (democratic vision)
- What would these models imply for the boundaries?



Summarising

- ❑ Boundaries are continuously (re)defined and – at face value – both contracting and expanding
- ❑ In some areas more than others ...
IDENTITY!
- ❑ Boundaries are in some respect stronger than before, but also more porous and blurring: HEIs as amoebae, Swiss cheese ... partly contradicting the idea of actorhood



Reflective exercises

- ❑ Contemporary universities seem to make limited use of possible structures and boundaries Agree?
- ❑ If you think this made sense, what does this all imply for your research in and on higher education?



Thank you!

Jeroen.huisman@ugent.be

